



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/521,659

01/18/2005

Alphons Antonius Maria Lambertus Bruekers

NL 020660

2191

24737

7590

03/20/2008

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS

P.O. BOX 3001

BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

SHIU, HO T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

4152

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/20/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                              |                                      |   |  |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/521,659 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>BRUEKERS, ALPHONS ANTONIUS<br>MARIA LAMBER |  |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b><br>HO SHIU           | <b>Art Unit</b><br>4152   |  |

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 January 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  
     4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 January 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
     a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>18 January 2005</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-8 are pending in this application.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

4. With respect to claim 8, "A computer program product" is being recited. A computer program product as recited constitutes only instructions which is software per se. Software is not a machine, process, article of manufacture, or composition of materials. Software is not one of the statutory subject matter. See MPEP § 2106.01

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. **Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stent (US Patent # 5,778,359, hereinafter Stent) in view of Samadani et al. (US Patent # 6,995,309 B2, hereinafter Samadani).**

7. With respect to claim 1, Stent discloses a method of determining whether an encoded signal (4) has been encoded with a particular type of encoder (21), the method comprising the steps of: receiving at least a part of said encoded signal (column 3, lines 9-13); decoding (11) the received signal using a decoder which performs the reverse operation of said particular type of encoder (column 3, lines 25-27); deriving a fingerprint (12) from the decoded signal (column 3, lines 42-48, file record format characteristics).

Stent does not disclose comparing (13) said fingerprint with fingerprints stored in a database (14); and concluding that the encoded signal has been encoded with said particular type of encoder if the derived fingerprint corresponds to one of the fingerprints stored in the database.

In the same field of endeavor, Samadani discloses comparing (13) said fingerprint with fingerprints stored in a database (14) (column 5, lines 22-27, lines 34-38); and concluding that the encoded signal has been encoded with said particular type of encoder if the derived fingerprint corresponds to one of the fingerprints stored in the database (column 5, lines 34-41).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Stent with the teachings of

Samadani in order to accurately identify files without having to proceed through many false results (Samadani, abstract, lines 1-3).

8. With respect to claim 2, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 above. In addition, Samadani discloses wherein said steps are performed by a server (1) which receives the encoded signal from a client (2) through a network (3) (column 2, lines 28-32, lines 57-64).

9. With respect to claim 3, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 2 above. In addition, Samadani discloses further comprising the step of awarding (22) the client if the server concluded that the received encoded signal has been encoded with said particular type of encoder (column 6, lines 63-67, column 7, line 1).

10. With respect to claim 4, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 2 above. In addition, Samadani discloses wherein said step of awarding comprises retrieving from the database metadata associated with the signal, and transmitting said metadata to the client (column 6, lines 63-67, column 7, line 1).

11. With respect to claim 5, Stent discloses a server station (1) connected to a network (3) for receiving encoded signals from a client (2), the server station comprising: a database (14) for storing one or more fingerprints identifying respective multimedia signals (4) (column 3, lines 42-45); a decoder (11) for decoding an encoded

signal received from said client, the decoder performing the reverse operation of a particular type of encoder (21) (column 3, lines 25-27); means (12) for deriving a fingerprint from the decoded signal (column 3, lines 42-48, file record format characteristics).

Stent does not disclose processing means (13) for comparing said fingerprint derived from the decoded signal with fingerprints stored in said database, and concluding that the received encoded signal has been encoded with said particular type of encoder if the derived fingerprint corresponds to one of the fingerprints stored in the database.

In the same field of endeavor, Samadani discloses processing means (13) for comparing said fingerprint derived from the decoded signal with fingerprints stored in said database (column 5, lines 22-27, lines 34-38), and concluding that the received encoded signal has been encoded with said particular type of encoder if the derived fingerprint corresponds to one of the fingerprints stored in the database (column 5, lines 34-41).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Stent with the teachings of Samadani in order to accurately identify files without having to proceed through many false results (Samadani, abstract, lines 1-3).

12. With respect to claim 6, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 5 above. In addition, Samadani discloses further comprising means for awarding the client if the

server concludes that the received encoded signal has been encoded with said particular type of encoder (column 6, lines 63-67, column 7, line 1).

13. With respect to claim 6, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 5 above. In addition, Samadani discloses wherein said awarding comprises retrieving from the database metadata associated with the signal, and transmitting said metadata to the client (column 6, lines 63-67, column 7, line 1).

14. With respect to claim 8, Stent discloses a computer program product for instructing a processor (13) to carry out the method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 4 (column 3, lines 14-16).

### ***Conclusion***

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

a. Allamanche et al, US Patent # 7,081,581 B2, a method for characterizing a signal which represents an audio content, involves ascertaining a measure for the tonality of the signal and sending a statement concerning the audio content of the signal on the basis on the signal tonality. The measure for the signal tonality is compared to a number of known tonality measurements for a number of known signals, which represent different audio contents.



b. Blum et al., US Patent # 5,918,223, a variety of acoustical features such as loudness, pitch and bandwidth of a sound file are measured. Mean and standard deviation of each acoustical feature and of initial derivative of each acoustical feature are computed to form a feature vector. Feature vector files are grouped based on similar measurements for files and distance between sound file vector and reference vector.

c. Ikezoye et al., US Patent # 6,834,308, method consists in receiving a user command, periodically or continuously creating a sample of a segment of the audio or video media content, comparing it with a collection of reference contents in a lookup server and presenting the data to the client media player.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HO SHIU whose telephone number is (571)270-3810. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur (7:30am - 6:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nabil El-Hady can be reached on 571-272-3963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 4152

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HTS

03/11/2008

/Nabil El-Hady/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4152